

Prevention of Academic Laboratory Explosions

Lessons from TTU Laboratory

Rafael Moure-Eraso, PhD, CIH Mary Beth Mulcahy, PhD

U.S. Chemical Safety & Hazard Investigation Board

246th ACS National Meeting

Indianapolis , IN

September 8, 2013

Agenda

- CSB: Who we are
- Incident Synopsis
- Key Findings
- Recommendations
 - American Chemical Society (ACS)
 - —Texas Tech University (TTU)
 - Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA)
 - Announcement of ACS Publication of Laboratory Guidelines



U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB)



Mission---Prevent Chemical Accidents

- •Investigates major chemical accidents
- •Finds root causes of accidents
- Issues recommendations to stakeholders
 - Regulatory Agencies (OSHA, EPA)
 - Non-Governmental Organizations (NFPA, API, ASME)
 - Companies & Industrial Sectors
 - Legislative Bodies (States, Local)
- **OReport Disseminates Findings Publically Press, Reports Videos**



Incident Synopsis: Laboratory Explosion

- January 7, 2010
- Lubbock, TX
- Texas Tech University Chemistry Department
- 5th-year graduate student
- Detonation during energetic material synthesis activities: Nickel Hydrazine Perchlorate (NHP)
- Loss of three fingers, one eye perforated, burns to hands and face





Academic Laboratory Safety





Incident Description



Incident Description (cont)





Recorded laboratory incidents since TTU:

65

2 deaths136 injuries



Incident Analysis

Regulations and good practice guidance

Rules and requirements

 Policies, practices, leadership, and oversight

Knowledge, skills, actions, and decisions

Incident

Regulators and Academic Associations

Grant Funding Bodies

University

Department

PI/Laboratory

Researcher



Recommendation to American Chemical Society

2010-5-I-TX-R2

Develop good practice guidance that identifies and describes methodologies to assess and control hazards that can be used successfully in a research laboratory.

Publication by the Committee on Chemical Safety of the ACS –Guidelines: Identifying and Evaluating Hazards in Research Laboratories

Document under Evaluation by the CSB

- Comprehensive and Flexible approach
- Provides well developed techniques
 - Control Banding
 - Job Hazard Analysis
 - What-if Analysis
- Allows for the variable nature of research tasks

Recommendation to Texas Tech University

2010-5-I-TX-R4

Develop and implement an incident and near-miss reporting system

- an educational resource for researchers
- a basis for continuous safety system improvement
- •a metric for the university to assess its safety progress.

Recommendation to OSHA

2010-5-I-TX-R1

Effectively implement programs and procedures to control physical hazards of chemicals (as defined in 1910.1450(b)).

At a minimum:

- a.Develop a Safety and Health Information Bulletin (SHIB) pertaining to the need to control physical hazards of chemicals; and
- b.Disseminate the SHIB (and any related products) on the OSHA Safety and Health Topics website pertaining to Laboratories (http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/laboratories/index.html)







Lagging:

Retrospective measurement of factors related to operations occurring after an incident

Leading:

Prospective measurement of factors related to operations occuring before an incident

Leading and Lagging Indicators



Examples:

- Open safety audit items
- Length of SOP review cycle
- New orders of highly hazardous chemicals
- Type of hazardous waste

Examples:

- Number of Injuries
- Emergency response calls
- Fire alarms/time of day

Safety Operations Management

Attributes of Effective Indicators

Workforce and Management

Continuous improvement

Incorporate data into safety management system

Identify risk

Identify indicator

Compile and analyze collectively



Prevention of Academic Laboratory Explosions

Lessons from TTU Laboratory

Rafael Moure-Eraso, PhD, CIH Mary Beth Mulcahy, PhD

U.S. Chemical Safety & Hazard Investigation Board

246th ACS National Meeting

Indianapolis , IN

September 8, 2013