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About ISU CHE 140

- **General Chemistry I**
  - First semester of two semester sequence
  - Three 50 min weekly lectures; one 270 weekly lab
  - Lab alternates weekly between *humidus* (wet) and *aridus* (cooperative learning) exercise
  - 500 to 600 students annually

- **Distribution of majors**
  - 21% – Biological Sciences
  - 10% – Biochemistry/Molecular Biology
  - 9% – Chemistry
  - 8% Computer science
  - 5% each – Physics, Exercise Science, Medical Lab Science, Environmental Science, Agriculture
  - 11% undeclared
  - Rest (16%) – Geology, Nursing, Athletic Training, Misc.
Inspiration – Part 1

ACS Committee on Ethics

Mission

The Committee on Ethics promotes and supports high standards of ethical conduct and integrity in the community of chemistry and related disciplines for the benefit of science and society.

- About the Ethics Committee
- Roster
- Subcommittees

Resources for ACS members

- ACS Ethics Resources available at 'Ethics CORE'
- Professional Ethics and Chemical Safety
- Case Studies for Chemistry Ethics Education

Ethical Guidelines

- Ethical & Professional Guidelines
- Non-ACS Resources

Responsible Conduct of Research and Other Ethics/Professionalism Matters

A General Chemistry cooperative learning exercise
Illinois State University, Department of Chemistry
Normal, IL 61790, USA
Inspiration – Part 2
Attributions and Disclaimer

Case studies are adapted or come, with permission, assorted resources or have been built here at ISU. Specific attributions are not provided on individual slides.

The presentation author makes no particular claim to the text contained herein.

plagiarism: the practice of taking someone else’s work or ideas and passing them off as one’s own.

plagiarism ≠ copying
Audience participation

1) Voting is by ‘clickers’ using your cell phone, tablet or laptop

2) We will use a web-tool called PollEverywhere; for more information see: http://www.polleverywhere.com/

3) If you can text, you can do this; just follow the onscreen instructions

4) Voting is anonymous
Audience participation (cont.)

5) While some scenarios are designed to evoke strong opinions, there are many situations where there really is not any ‘right’ answer.

6) The process and discussion is very important to developing a mindset of safety.

Ground Rules

PLAY NICE !!!!!!
System Test (let’s practice)

- The command from the home-plate umpire to start a baseball game is.

1. Play Ball
2. Play
3. Let’s Roll
4. Go!
5. Git’ er’ done
Ready, set…

• Please read the brief ‘case’ on the next slide.
• The moderator (Instructor) will advance from the ‘case’ to an anonymous poll and you will be given a change to participate in the poll.
• Once the polls are tallied, the results will be posted and the class as a whole is to engage in a moderated discussion about the topic and the outcomes of the poll.
• Your enthusiastic participation is requested to make this work well.
Gotta Run

• Greg, a CHE 140 student is performing a *humidus* exercise and really needs to leave lab early to make a critical appointment with his academic advisor. Bob has completed the lab exercise, but he lacks time to clean his glassware, so he puts the glassware away dirty. Sue, his lab partner suggests that it is not safe to put the glassware away dirty, particularly since the laboratory glassware is communal. Greg affirms it is no big deal since it is just dirty dishes.
Who is right?

1. Sue, since the dirty glassware poses a safety threat to the next user.

2. Greg, appointments with advisors need to be kept, and a little dirty glassware in Gen Chem is no big deal.

3. It depends on what chemicals are left behind in the ‘dirty’ glassware.

4. This isn’t a matter of safety; it is a matter of being rude and leaving a mess behind.
If Greg were your lab partner, what option would you choose?

• 1. It’s just dirty glassware, let Greg leave it behind.
• 2. You offer to clean Greg’s glassware, so he makes his appointment on time.
• 3. You wait until Greg leaves, then quietly clean up after him.
• 4. You wait until Greg leaves, then you report his actions to the instructor.
• 3. Greg knows when lab meets. It is unacceptable for him to schedule a conflicting appointment. Obviously Greg needs to stay and clean up.
Carry this conversation further.

• What are some good ways to handle this situation?
• What situations might arise where as student needs to leave lab early? Make a list on the board.
• What other safety issues might arise if a laboratory partner departs from lab early?
Other case scenarios.

• Introducing GHS symbols
• Safe egress upon building alarm
• Failure to wear PPE while others in lab are still actively experimenting
• The distracted student
• The overflowing waste jar
• The contaminated calculator
• Water bottles (for drinking) in the laboratory
• The ever present frayed electrical cord
• Organic solvent fire
Your turn

• In groups of four, design a short case study in the format just practiced.
• Today’s Hand-in sheet is a ‘story board’ for your case. The four boxes are for up to four PowerPoint panels. Design a case using between two and four panels that would still look reasonable if displayed on screen.
• For the purpose of today’s exercise, within each group you have permission to copy the final story boards to each of your hand-in sheets. (With attribution, of course.)
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