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hazards in the Tonks Group  
(early transition metal organometallic synthesis and catalysis)

strong oxidizers  
chronic toxins  
pyrophorics  
(high #1a concern)

high pressures  
(reactives and explosives  
(#1b concern)

what are the unique problems we face with graduate education and safety?

• we are always training new students
• expertise leaves with graduation
• awareness of safety (you don’t know what you don’t know!)
• miscalculations of risk (how bad could an explosion really be?)

how does this fit in to managing and advising my research group and departmental safety?
Recent reports on safety and safety culture in academia consistently hit on three themes:

- Setting core values and leading by example
- Empowering researchers to collaborate and practice safe science
- Implementing constructive and supportive infrastructure

communicating & building better ways to communicate!

Safe Science: Promoting a Culture of Safety in Academic Chemical Research; 2014.
the safety infrastructure at minnesota

Minnesota Safety Over ~8 Years:

- Joint Safety Team Creation
- Adoption of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)
- Safety Moments
- Safety Advertising (Stall Wall Moments)
- Faculty SOP Peer Reviews
- Anonymous Learning Experience Reports
- Safe Operating Cards
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Why is it important for us to communicate about “near-misses” and other potential dangers?

don’t make this using the reported prep.

Learning Experience Reports
Why is it important for us to communicate about “near-misses” and other potential dangers?

Why is it important to have (and review) written standards and procedures?

Me₃SiN₃

if you’re gonna make this, follow the directions.

don’t do it on process scale.

Learning Experience Reports

Standard Operating Procedures
fluorinated organics and Al or Mg: a bad combination

Preface: Al or Mg (and other hard, fluorophilic metals) will readily and exothermically β-F abstract from Ar-F
Preface: Al or Mg (and other hard, fluorophilic metals) will readily and exothermically β-F abstract from Ar-F

Handling, Storage, and Precautions: extra caution should be exercised when handing this compound, especially the unsolvated form (due to its thermal and shock sensitivity)!

An explosion was reported in an attempt to sublime the crude etherate prepared from the reaction of AlCl₃ and 3 equiv of C₆F₅MgBr in ether or in an attempt to prepare the unsolvated Al(C₆F₅)₃ by heating a mixture of B(C₆F₅)₃ and AlEt₃ to 70 °C.¹ Store the solid alane under inert (argon or nitrogen) atmosphere in a glove box and its solutions in a refrigerator inside the glove box.

Tris(pentafluorophenyl)alane, e-EROS, 2012
https://doi.org/10.1002/047084289X.rn01382
fluorinated organics and Al or Mg: a bad combination

Preface: Al or Mg (and other hard, fluorophilic metals) will readily and exothermically $\beta$-F abstract from Ar-F

*Handling, Storage, and Precautions*: extra caution should be exercised when handling this compound, especially the unsolvated form (due to its thermal and shock sensitivity)! An explosion was reported in an attempt to sublime the crude etherate prepared from the reaction of $\text{AlCl}_3$ and 3 equiv of $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5\text{MgBr}$ in ether or in an attempt to prepare the unsolvated $\text{Al}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ by heating a mixture of $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ and $\text{AlEt}_3$ to 70 °C.$^1$ Store the solid alane under inert (argon or nitrogen) atmosphere in a glove box and its solutions in a refrigerator inside the glove box.

Boulder Scientific: Fatality in 2008

---

**Accident Investigation Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary Nr: 201573466</th>
<th>Event: 05/16/2008</th>
<th>Employee Dies After Drying Oven Explodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

On May 16, 2008, Employee #1 was walking in a hall at a facility that used a vacuum drying oven to dry chemicals. The chemical in the oven was potassium tetrakis (pentfluorophenyl) borate which contained hexane and toluene. The oven was being used to remove the hexane and toluene. The chemicals ignited and caused an explosion that drove steel door off its hinges, turned the oven 45 degrees, and ruptured the condensate line. Additional hexane and toluene spilled into the area which caused a second explosion. The second explosion drove debris and material toward Employee #1 who was passing through the area in an exterior hall. Employee #1 suffered third-degree burns and unspecified internal injuries. He died due to internal bleeding.

US Department of Labor, OSHA Inspection: 311903751 - Boulder Scientific Company
Preface: Al or Mg (and other hard, fluorophilic metals) will readily and exothermically $\beta$-F abstract from Ar-F

Handling, Storage, and Precautions: extra caution should be exercised when handling this compound, especially the unsolvated form (due to its thermal and shock sensitivity)! An explosion was reported in an attempt to sublime the crude etherate prepared from the reaction of AlCl$_3$ and 3 equiv of C$_6$F$_5$MgBr in ether or in an attempt to prepare the unsolvated Al(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ by heating a mixture of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ and AlEt$_3$ to 70°C. Store the solid alane under inert (argon or nitrogen) atmosphere in a glove box and place the glove box inside a closed refrigerator.

Boulder Scientific: Fatality in 2008

Accident

Summary Nr: 201573466  Event: 05/16/2008

On May 16, 2008, Employee #1 was walking in a hall at a facility that used a vacuum pump to contain hexane and toluene. The oven was being used to remove the low boils from the hexane and toluene. Hinges, turned the oven 45 degrees, and ruptured the condensate line. Additional fluid, which contained hexane and toluene, drove debris and material toward Employee #1 who was passing through the area. He was later admitted to the hospital due to internal bleeding.

US Department of Labor, OSHA Inspection: 311903751 - Boulder Scientific Company


PROCESS FOR FORMING A BROMOMAGNESUM TETRAKS (FLUOROPHENYL) BORATE

A solution of 104 millimoles of pentafluorophenyl-magnesium bromide in 60 ml of ether was prepared using 2.89 g of magnesium and 25.9 grams of pentafluorophenyl bromide. Unreacted magnesium (0.32 g) was removed by filtration and the solution was diluted with 130 ml of dry butyl ether. This was then followed by the addition of 3.71 g of boron trifluoride etherate. The flask with the reaction mixture was then placed in an oil bath, and the bath temperature was increased to allow gradual ether removal by distillation. About 50 ml of solvent was removed. Any residual ether was then removed by vacuum distillation leaving about 40–50 ml of liquid. (According to the literature, complete removal of the solvent may result in an explosion). Fresh butyl ether in the amount of 120 ml was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room

Tris(pentafluorophenyl)alane, e-EROS, 2012

https://doi.org/10.1002/047084289X.rn01382
$C_6F_5PH_2$: a missed opportunity to report hazards

Jan 2012, IAT: is this a good idea?

Z. Naturforschg. 1966 21b, 920

UMN JST interview: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3zqw8kKygM8
C&EN interview: https://cen.acs.org/safety/Podcast-Lessons-learned-lab-safety/97/i30
Jan 2012, IAT: is this a good idea?

Nope, not a good idea.
Detonated prior to distillation:

Z. Naturforsch. 1966 21b, 920

Safety Alert: Explosion During Prep Of (C₆F₅)PH₂

May 21, 2012 | Appeared in Volume 90, Issue 21

UMN JST interview: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3zqw8Kygq8
C&EN interview: https://cen.acs.org/safety/Podcast-Lessons-learned-lab-safety/97/i30
C₆F₅PH₂: a missed opportunity to report hazards

Jan 2012, IAT: is this a good idea?

Nope, not a good idea.
Detonated prior to distillation:

Z. Naturforsch. 1966 21b, 920

Safety Alert: Explosion During Prep Of (C₆F₅)PH₂

MAY 21, 2012 | APPEARED IN VOLUME 90, ISSUE 21

Around 15 yrs ago a postdoc I was working with did the same reaction and his material also went off during the distillation. Luckily only a couple flasks were broken and nobody was hurt. We were both standing about 10 ft away. He said he wouldn’t do that reaction again. :)

Ian Tonks @ianatonks · Jan 30, 2018
This is why people should share. I wouldn’t have glass in my face if someone had noted that.
Learning experience reports: an easy (anonymous!) way to share

LERs: A Joint Safety Team (JST) initiative inspired by Dow Chemical: http://www.jst.umn.edu/learning-experience-reports

Learning Experience Reports

If you experienced or observed an incident, an accident, or an ‘almost’ situation, please report it here:

**Learning Experience Report Form (requires a University of Minnesota e-mail address)**

**Important:**
If someone was injured (even minor cuts or exposures), please be sure a **First Report of Injury form** has been completed first! See our **Incident Reporting** page for more.

**What is required:**
The submission form is anonymous and only requires a brief description of the incident and the suggested measures that could be taken to solve the problem. Anyone with a UMN account can create a submission.

**When to submit:**
Please consider submitting an LER if you ever think there is an underlying condition or opportunity to learn. Even submitting a seemingly minor incident is important to develop the practice of sharing potential safety concerns.

"I wish I had known."

..."Why didn’t anyone tell me?"

"If only I had dealt with that problem or followed instructions earlier."

---

J. Chem. Ed. 2020, ASAP. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c00133
Vial Explosion by Warming LN2

Title
Vial Explosion by Warming LN2

Monday, June 3, 2019

Situation
The researcher was cryo-fracturing a sponge-like material (99% porosity) by first submerging the sample in liquid nitrogen, then tearing it apart using two pairs of tweezers. When finished the sample was transferred into a scintillation vial for storage. Because of the high porosity, an estimated amount of 1 cm³ LN2 was absorbed in the sponge-like material, and was also transferred to the vial. The researcher then made a mistake by capping the vial because the researcher wasn’t paying too much attention while talking to a lab-mate. Soon after capping the vial, the vial exploded in their hand because of the volume expansion of the nitrogen gas. Fortunately, the researcher was all geared up (gloves, goggles and lab-coat) so there were just a couple of scratches on their hand. The coworkers in the lab quickly located gauze pads and bandages for the researcher, and the bleeding was stopped very soon.

Suggestions

Avoid

1. Do not be in a rush to put any samples that were just submerged in liquid nitrogen in a sealed container wait a minimum of 24 hours for vapors to expand or LN2 liquid to drain out if still in the vapor phase. LN2 expands 600x in volume when warmed so very little amount of liquid nitrogen in a sealed environment could be devastating.

2. Be on your toes when preparing samples using cryo-fracturing. Avoid any distractions during lab work and focus your attention completely on your work.

3. Always fully gear up and never work alone in the lab. Add a blast shield and stay away while thawing. Or use a face shield with goggles and cut resistant gloves when handling materials that have not thawed. It is also recommended to perform cryo-fracturing in a fume hood. It won’t just be minor scratches if the researcher was working without any protection on a weekend.

4. A first report of injury should be completed for even minor injuries and exposures.
LERs help capture different data than formal EHS requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranked Hazard Type</th>
<th>LER (n = 85)</th>
<th>FROI (n = 72)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Spill, Fire (n = 21, 25%)</td>
<td>Sharp (n = 20, 28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Slip/Trip (n = 14, 19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>Equipment failure, Explosion (n = 15, 18%)</td>
<td>Poison/Exposure (n = 12, 17%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LER Data Provides…

- Longitudinal data across labs on common hazards and mistakes
  - Waste carts: narrow carts led to more spills
  - Old Corning stirplates had a defect that led to uncontrolled heating in multiple labs (search-and-replace campaign)
- Help to ID training blind spots in labs
  - Inorganic labs were much more aware of cryogen safety than others
- DIFFERENT data than FROI
  - Only accidents that cause injury make it to FROI, LER captures a different set

J. Chem. Ed. 2020, ASAP. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c00133

http://www.jst.umn.edu/learning-experience-reports
explicit instruction and communication is key

$\text{Me}_3\text{SiN}_3$

if you're gonna make this, follow the directions.

don't do it on process scale.

\[
\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{NaN}_3, \text{diglyme}} \quad \text{Me}_3\text{SiN}_3
\]


C&EN articles on the explosion: [https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i45/William-B-Tolman.html](https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i45/William-B-Tolman.html) [https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i43/Chemical-Safety-Explosion-hazard-synthesis.html](https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i43/Chemical-Safety-Explosion-hazard-synthesis.html)
explicit instruction and communication is key

Me$_3$SiN$_3$

*if you’re gonna make this, follow the directions.*

*don’t do it on process scale.*

July 2014: Devastating explosion in the UMN Chem Dept during a 200 g scale synthesis of TMSN$_3$ (student severely injured, has thankfully recovered):

\[
\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl} + \text{NaN}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{diglyme}} \text{Me}_3\text{SiN}_3
\]


This was from a materials group that used *A LOT* of TMSN$_3$ over the years.

Prep carried out on > 200 g scale 20+ times in the lab. What went wrong?

---

C&EN articles on the explosion: [https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i45/William-B-Tolman.html](https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i45/William-B-Tolman.html) [https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i43/Chemical-Safety-Explosion-hazard-synthesis.html](https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i43/Chemical-Safety-Explosion-hazard-synthesis.html)
This was from a materials group that used a lot of TMSN$_3$ over the years.

Prep carried out on > 200 g scale 20+ times in the lab. What went wrong?

Over the years, the procedure had evolved away from the Org. Syn. Prep in several dangerous ways, and students had stopped referring to the original sources. Failure to recognize the problems and dangers!

- Freshly distilled diglyme => PEG from a bottle
  - PEG is protic and often wet, could easily protonolyze to HN$_3$

- Mechanical stirring => magnetic stirring
  - Students were opening reactor to “break up” chunks of NaN$_3$ manually

- 200 g of a potential explosive is not suitable for engineering controls in a regular academic lab

C&EN articles on the explosion: [https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i45/William-B-Tolman.html](https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i45/William-B-Tolman.html) [https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i43/Chemical-Safety-Explosion-hazard-synthesis.html](https://cen.acs.org/articles/92/i43/Chemical-Safety-Explosion-hazard-synthesis.html)
explicit instruction and communication is key

July 2014: Devastating explosion in the UMN Chem Dept during a 200 g scale synthesis of TMSN₃ (student severely injured, has thankfully recovered):

$$\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl} \xrightarrow{\text{Na}_3, \text{diglyme}} \text{Me}_3\text{SiN}_3$$


This was from a materials group that used *A LOT* of TMSN₃ over the years.

Prep carried out on > 200 g scale 20+ times in the lab. What went wrong?

In essence, this was a game of telephone gone wrong. With no written procedures being followed, original safety protocols and logic were forgotten, changed, or ignored.

**Formalized procedures and protocols are important for successful knowledge transfer over time!**

**Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) are a great tool for this.**

SOPs are everywhere now! Writing things down is a great way to break the game of telephone.

**Degassing Solvents — Freeze, Pump, Thaw**

Degassing solvents involves freezing a liquid in order to remove dissolved gases such as O₂ or N₂ from solution. In order to prevent the liquid from also boiling away, the liquid is cooled to a temperature such that it has a very low vapor pressure, even under vacuum.

A common misconception of FPF technique is that you must freeze your liquid. **This is untrue**—you just need to cool your solution such that the vapor pressure of the liquid is extremely low under vacuum. Unfortunately, application of extreme cryogens like liquid nitrogen is dangerous and is a common source of explosion/implosion accidents while FPFing. Don’t do this.

**A. General Safety Guidelines & PPE:**

- Working with Schlenk and vacuum lines presents explosion/implosion dangers.
- Working with cryogenic/precious cold burn and liquid oxygen dangers.
- Working with solvent pots involves the use of potentially pyrophoric material.

FULL PPE (goggles or face mask, gloves, and lab coats) must be worn at all times, and manipulations should be carried out in a working fume hood. When working in the lab, take safety precautions at all times. Be aware of the location and use of fire safety equipment in the lab prior to using them/itself.

**B. Instructions:**

1. The liquid to be degassed needs to be in a vessel capable of withstanding prolonged vacuum. A solvent bomb, Schlenk flask, Schlenk flask fitted with a greased glass stopper, or a round-bottomed flask with a 100 degree adapter.

2. Turn on your vacuum line (see Schlenk line and High Vacuum Line SOPs). Make sure that the taps on your vacuum line (either Schlenk or high vacuum line) are closed with LNs to prevent solvent from entering your vacuum pump.

3. Attach your vessel to the vacuum line.

4. Use a chemical bath (usually dry ice/pentane) to cool your vessel.

There are many options here, depending on your solvents. Keep in mind that you do not need to freeze your solvent, just significantly cool it. In the Torrens group, using LN₂ to cool your vessel is banned. Cooling a closed system with LN₂ is a recipe for condensing your O₂, and that risk is not worth saving a couple ml of solvent. With a few exceptions for very low boiling solvents, cooling to -78 °C will result in only minimal solvent loss upon exposure to vacuum.

5. After your vessel has equilibrated with the cold bath, open it to vacuum.
SOPs are everywhere now! Writing things down is a great way to break the game of telephone.

BUT!

How do you know that your way is the **BEST** way?

---

**Degassing Solvents — Freeze, Pump, Thaw**

Degassing solvents involves rapidly applying vacuum to a liquid in order to remove dissolved gases such as O₂ or N₂ from solution. In order to prevent the liquid from also boiling away, the liquid is cooled to a temperature such that it has a very low vapor pressure, even under vacuum.

A common misconception of FFPT technique is that you must freeze your liquid. **This is untrue** — you just need to cool your solution such that the vapor pressure of the liquid is extremely low under vacuum. Overheating application of excessive cryogens is extremely dangerous and is a common source of explosive implosion accidents while FFPTing. Don’t do this.

**A. General Safety Guidelines & PPE:**

- Working with Schlenk and vacuum lines poses implosion/explosion dangers.
- Working with cryogens presents cold burn and liquid oxygen dangers.
- Working with solvent pots involves the use of potentially pyrophoric materials.

FULL PPE (goggles or face mask, gloves, and lab coats) must be worn at all times, and manipulations should be carried out in a working fume hood. Wear this safety gear in front of you at all times. Be aware of the location and use of the safety equipment in the lab prior to using them.

**B. Instructions:**

1. The liquid to be degassed needs to be in a vessel capable of sustaining prolonged vacuum: a solvent bomb, Schlenk flask, Schlenk bomb, or a round-bottom flask with a 400 degree adapter.
2. Turn on your vacuum line (see Schlenk line and High Vacuum Line SOPs). Make sure that the trap in your vacuum line (either Schlenk or high vacuum line) are filled with LN₂ to prevent chill from entering your vacuum pump.
3. Attach your vessel to the vacuum line.
4. Use a chemical bath (usually dry isopropyl alcohol) to cool your vessel.

There are many options here, depending on your solvent. Keep in mind that you do not need to freeze your solution, just significantly cool it. In this Torres group, using LN₂ to cool your vessel is banned. Cooling a closed system with LN₂ is a recipe for condensing your gas, and that is not worth having a cloud of cryogen. With a few exceptions for very low-boiling solvents, cooling to -78 °C will result in only minimal solvent loss upon exposure to vacuum.
5. After your vessel has equilibrated with the cold bath, open it to vacuum.
How do you know that your way is the **BEST** way?

**Degassing Solvents – Freeze, Pump, Thaw**

Degassing solvents involves iteratively applying vacuum to a liquid in order to remove dissolved gases such as O₂ or H₂O from solution. In order to prevent the liquid from also boiling away, the liquid is cooled to a temperature such that it has a very low vapor pressure, even under vacuum.

A common misconception of FPT technique is that you must freeze your liquid. This is untrue—you just need to cool your solution such that the vapor pressure of the liquid is extremely low under vacuum. Limited application of extreme cryogenics (e.g., liquid nitrogen) is dangerous and is a common source of explosions/explosion accidents while FPTing. Don’t do this.

**A. General Safety Guidelines & PPE:**

- Working with Schlenk and vacuum lines prevents implosion/explosion dangers.
- Working with cryogenics presents cold burn and liquid oxygen dangers.
- Working with solvent pots involves the use of potentially pyrophoric material.

Full PPE (goggles or face mask, gloves, and lab coat) must be worn at all times, and masks should be worn in a working fume hood. Work with the safety hood in front of you at all times. Be aware of the location and use of fire safety equipment in the lab prior to using flammables.

**B. Instructions:**

1. The liquid to be degassed needs to be in a vessel capable of withstanding prolonged vacuum: a solvent bottle, Schlenk flask, Schlenk flask fitted with a greaseless glass stopper, or a round-bottom flask with a Hirsch adapter.
2. Turn on your vacuum line (see Schlenk line and High Vacuum Line SOPs). Make sure that the liquid is in a vacuum line (either Schlenk or High vacuum line) and is filled with LNₐ to prevent solvent from entering your vacuum pump.
3. Attach your vessel to the vacuum line.
4. Use a chemical bath (usually dry ice/sodium) to cool your vessel.

There are many options here, depending on your solvent. Keep in mind that you do not need to freeze your solvent, just significantly cool it. In the Torkes group, using LNₐ to cool your vessel is common.

5. Leave your vessel in the chemical bath for a couple of hours. LNₐ is not as effective on larger vessels, so a few exceptions for very large vessels exist. Cooling LNₐ to -50°C will result in any minimal solvent loss open exposure to vacuum.

6. After your vessel has equilibrated with the cold bath, open it to vacuum.

---

**Figure 2.** Distillation apparatus with a cylindrical condenser/receiver. The receiver shown is filled with a frozen solvent.

**Figure 4.** Vacuum distillation with a liquid nitrogen-chilled receiver.
How do you know that your way is the BEST way?

Degassing Solvents – Freeze, Pump, Thaw

Degassing solvents involves iteratively applying vacuum to a liquid in order to remove dissolved gases such as CH₄ or N₂ from solution. In order to prevent the liquid from also boiling away, the liquid is cooled to a temperature such that it has a very low vapor pressure, even under vacuum.

A common misconception of FPT technique is that you must freeze your liquid. This is untrue—you just need to cool your solution such that the vapor pressure of the liquid is extremely low under vacuum. Sometimes application of extreme cryogens to a liquid in some instances is dangerous and is a common source of explosion/implosion accidents while FPTing. Don’t do this.

A. General Safety Guidelines & PPE:

- Working with Schlenk and vacuum lines prevents implosion/explosion dangers.
- Working with cryogens presents cold burn and liquid oxygen dangers.
- Working with solvent pots involves the use of potentially pyrophoric material.

Full PPE (goggles or face mask, gloves, and lab coat) must be worn at all times, and sample transfer should be carried out in a working fume hood. Work with the safety glass in front of you at all times. Be aware of the location and use of fire safety equipment in the lab prior to using flammables.

B. Instructions:

1. The liquid to be degassed needs to be in a vessel capable of withstands prolonged vacuum: a solvent pot, Schlenk flask, Schlenk flask fitted with a greaseless glass stopper, or a round-bottomed flask with a 30° degree adapter.

2. Turn on your vacuum line (see Schlenk line and High Vacuum Line SOPs). Make sure that the flange on your vacuum line (either Schlenk or High vacuum line) are fitted with LN₂ to prevent solvent from entering your vacuum pump.

3. Attach your vessel to the vacuum line.

4. Use a vacuum bath (usually dry ice/acetone) to cool your vessel.

5. After your vessel has equilibrated with the cold bath, open the vacuum.

How do you know that your way is the BEST way?
How do you know that your way is the **BEST** way?

Inorganic Safety Net: an *open* collection of SOPs

Alex Miller, UNC

(see also: **NotVoodoo**, **ILPI/Safety Emporium**)

If we share our methods and talk about how/why we do them, everyone will be safer!
Inorganic Safety Net: an open collection of SOPs

How do you know that your way is the BEST way?

UMN Faculty SOP Peer Review
- annual, rotating group partners
- free-form, but safety committee prompts a few “areas of interest”

MEMORANDUM
SUBJECT: SOP Peer Review Meeting – Tonks and Tolman Groups
ATTENDEES: Dr. William Tolman, Dr. Ian Tonks, Jimmy Chiu, Debanjan Dhar, Courtney Elwell
DATE: Tuesday, May 19th, 2015
TIME: 10:00 AM

The general topics below were discussed in the SOP peer review meeting:
- Emergency contacts
- Lab notebook page format
- Sample labeling
- Standard Operating Card (SOC) SOP’s
- Inclusion of lab specific syntheses, in particular hazardous reactions
- Chemical Inventory (www.quartzy.com)
- Group member checkout checklist (storage and disposal of synthesized chemicals)
- Chemical waste manifestation
- Scale up procedures
- Vacuum transfer of solvents (LN₂ vs. dry ice)

If we share our methods and talk about how/why we do them, everyone will be safer!

Alex Miller, UNC

(see also: NotVoodoo, ILPI/Safety Emporium)
thank you!

special thanks to all the students of the UMN Joint Safety Team & ACS DCHAS!